Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,161	CAMPBELL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hai Vo	1771 .
All Participants:	Status of Application	on: <u>80</u>
(1) <u>Hai Vo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Maxwell J. Petersen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 April 2007	Time: <u>4:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
1, 8, 24, 25, 33, and 34		
Prior art documents discussed: Donker et al (US 6,605,680) and Quinn et al (US 6,833	,404)	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
·		
Hai Vo		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (/	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

2 . . 5

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The tackifier consisting of a C5 hydrocarbon tackifier and the tackifier content ranging from 1 to 5 wt% would render the instant claims unobvious over the prior art. Applicant's representative agrees to cancel claims 8 and 34 and amend claims 1, 24, 25 and 33 in a manner as suggested by the examiner to place the instant claims in condition for allowance.